

Talking Stick Resort Arena Renovation

SECOND ADDENDUM TO RFQ FOR ARCHITECT SERVICES (“SECOND ADDENDUM”)

RFQ: “Talking Stick Resort Arena Renovation Architect Services” (“RFQ”)

RFQ Issue Date: February 21, 2109

SECOND ADDENDUM Issue Date: March 28, 2019

Phoenix Arena Development Limited Partnership hereby issues its SECOND ADDENDUM to RFQ as follows:

Phoenix Arena Development Limited Partnership has received the following questions which are germane to the RFQ:

1. Question: Are scalable longitudinal and traverse building sections available to the proposing teams?

Response: Operator has made available a draft Project Document for purposes of submitting the SOQ. No additional information will be provided to interested firms for purposes of submitting the SOQ.

2. Question: Would you like the proposing team to include a recommendation for specialty consultants.

Response: As of the date of this Second Addendum, no decisions regarding consultants have been made. To the extent any recommendation of consultants would be responsive to the RFQ and considered beneficial by the Architect, Phoenix Arena Development Limited Partnership will consider the recommendation.

3. Question: As was suggested in the Project Document, is minimal seat loss acceptable to increase specialty seating or fan engagement options?

Response: Phoenix Arena Development Limited Partnership will consider minimal seat loss to increase specialty seating or fan engagement options as recommended by Architect.

4. Question: RFQ Section 3.B.1.c. requests “original quoted architectural services cost” and “final architectural services cost”. Does this refer to architectural fee?

Response: The terms “original quoted architectural services cost” and “final architectural services cost” refer to architectural fees plus any consultants, subcontractors, vendors or service providers

retained by Architect in performing its services under the architecture services agreement or otherwise due under the architecture services agreement.

5. Question: How many hard copies would you like delivered prior to the April 2 deadline and does there need to be an original?

Response: One (1) hard copy must be delivered and received by Phoenix Arena Development Limited Partnership on or before the Qualifications Submittal Deadline.

6. Question: Is the circular entry at the plaza required to remain? Will the architectural lighting be replaced along with the landscaping design? Will the exterior marquee be replaced?

Response: As of the date of this Second Addendum, no design decisions have been made. To the extent Architect has design recommendations that are responsive to the RFQ and considered beneficial by the Architect, Phoenix Arena Development Limited Partnership will consider the recommendation.

7. Question: Is there a phasing sequence in mind?

Response: RFQ responses should take into account the phasing nature of the work.